.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1929 OF 2007

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of : coram, appearances, Court's Orders: or directions and Registrar Orders:	Court's or Judge's orders

Mr.M.S. Karnik for the petitioner.

Mr.A.A. Kumbhakoni, Associate Advocate General with Mr.R.D. Rane, Government Pleader for the respondents.

CORAM : S.B. MHASE &
D.G. KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : 30TH JULY 2007

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Associate Advocate General for the respondents.

2. The petitioner challenges the order of termination of her services during the period of probation. The petitioner was appointed in the year 2000 as a Civil Judge, Junior Division & Judicial Magistrate, First Class. During the period of her probation, the number of cases disposed of by the petitioner were grossly inadequate and inadequate. Her probation was therefore

extended. When she improved upon her disposal rate, she slipped on the language and her subsequent Confidential Report was as follows:-

> "Construction of sentences in judgments are not proper. There are many grammatical mistakes in judgments.
> Improvement in English language is necessary."

The High Court was not satisfied with the work of the petitioner and recommended the Government to terminate her service, which the respondent no.1 did.

3. As per the decision of the High Court, the probation is not extended beyond a period of four years. Even after granting four years' opportunity to the petitioner she could not meet the required standard and, therefore, her services were terminated. We see no error in the decision. Petition is therefore rejected summarily.

(D.G. KARNIK, J.) (S.B. MHASE, J.)